

PREDICTING THE DEVELOPMENT OF VOLUNTARY PENSION FUNDS IN SERBIA BY APPLYING THE MATHEMATICAL METHOD OF LINEAR REGRESSION

Ivan D. Radojkovic

“Dunav” voluntary pension fund management company, Nis, Serbia

Boban Gajic

“Dunav insurance”, a.d.o. Belgrade, Serbia

Branislav Randjelovic

University of Nis, Faculty of EE, Nis, Serbia

ABSTRACT

The introduction of private pension funds, which operate with the state pension fund, is the essence of the reform of the pension system in Serbia. Private pension funds are based on voluntary benefits. Thus, the functioning of the pension system takes place in three interconnected processes: payments to a voluntary pension fund, investment of free funds, and ultimately programmed payments – pensions. Stability in the voluntary pension funds and predictability of payments allow the quality of investment portfolio to be formed and achieve a long-term yield of investment. In this work we implement the method of least square approximation for data processing and a mathematical method of linear regression, which give a link between the observed size, in our case, the number of fund members, the average salaries in Serbia and the size of Fondex, and to be used to predict the number of fund members depending on other sizes. Based on the data obtained by approximation function we can estimate number of fund members, in dependence of average salary and size of FONDEX.

Keywords: pension system, voluntary pension funds, linear regression

JEL: C38, G11, G23, J32

1. INTRODUCTION

The reform of the pension system in Serbia, which has been going on for years, is yielding results because the state participates in the financing of pensions, where the average state pension was 26,738.00 RSD in February 2018¹ and 28,216.00 RSD in February 2019². Mandatory and voluntary pension insurance operates in Serbia. The pay as you go financing system can work well if the national economy is on the rise and when the number of employees is significantly higher than the number of retirees. If there is no economic self-sustainability of the public pension fund, financed according to the pay as you go principle, the state inevitably intervenes as a financier using general budget funds, and if they are insufficient, it uses special taxes on tobacco, alcohol, gasoline, luxury goods, etc. (Jelena Kočović, Predrag Šulejić, Tatjana Rakonjac Antić 2010, p.493 [1]). Private pension funds function as a fully funded financing system, often called a capital accumulation system or a system of capitalized funds. Basically, the amount of pension compensation depends on the amount of accumulated premiums (contributions) and the return on invested premiums (contributions). (Jelena Kočović, Predrag Šulejić, Tatjana Rakonjac Antić, Osiguranje 2010, p.493 [1]). At the end of the fourth quarter of 2019, 201,587 users³ were in the accumulation phase. It should be noted that the membership in the fund is divided into two phases - the accumulation phase (the period in which the funds are paid) and the withdrawal phase (the period when the member withdraws

¹http://www.croso.gov.rs/lat/Statistika/Prosecna_zarada_penzija/ (accessed June 16, 2018).

²http://www.croso.gov.rs/lat/Statistika/Prosecna_zarada_penzija/ (accessed March 07, 2020).

³https://www.nbs.rs/internet/latinica/62/62_2/dpf_04_19.pdf p.9

the accumulated funds)⁴. The stability of inflows into voluntary pension funds and the predictability of payments enable the formation of a quality investment portfolio and the realization of a long-term return on investment. (Ivan Radojković, Boban Gajić 2017, p.34 [7]). The strategic goal in this area is to introduce a healthy multi-pillar pension system.(Ivan Radojković 2012, p.41 [2]).

2. CHARACTERISTICS AND SIGNIFICANCE OF VOLUNTARY PENSION FUNDS

The enactment of the Law on Voluntary Pension Funds and Pension Plans, adopted in September 2005 - which entered into force on 1 April 2006, with its first amendment on 7 May 2011 - provided the legal framework for pension reform. in Serbia. This law introduces the third pillar of pension insurance. Private pensions are completely independent of state pensions and are based on the principle of personal accounts. The funds of the private pension fund are invested in financial instruments that provide portfolio optimization, ie. give the best ratio of investment risk and rate of return. Voluntary pension fund funds are invested in accordance with the following investment principles prescribed by law:

- 1) *the principle of security*, which is achieved by investing in securities of issuers with a high rating;
- 2) *the principle of portfolio diversification*, which is achieved by investing in various financial instruments (government bonds, corporate bonds, treasury bills, shares, bank deposits, mortgage bonds, etc.). By applying different quantitative methods, horizontal diversification is performed, ie.the selection of specific securities within different types of instruments on offer. The most important issuers of financial instruments are the state, commercial banks, companies, and local self-government;
- 3) *the principle of maintaining liquidity*, which is achieved by investing in securities that can be quickly sold and bought at a stable price. The fund's goal is to have a sufficient percentage of liquid financial instruments in its portfolio to be able to meet its obligations at any time⁵.

Articles 31, 32, 33 and 34 of the Law on Voluntary Pension Funds and Pension Plans ("Official Gazette of RS", no. 85/2005, 31/2011), precisely define where the assets of a voluntary pension fund can be invested. The members of the Fund themselves choose the Fund to which they will pay the money, the manner and amount of payment, as well as the manner of payment of the pension. There are currently four voluntary pension fund management companies operating in Serbia⁶, which manage seven voluntary pension funds⁷. Fund members can start withdrawing funds at the age of 53 or 58, depending on when they joined the Fund⁸. The data in the table indicate solid returns of funds, which indicates that the funds place the collected funds well.

Table following on the next page

⁴https://www.nbs.rs/internet/latinica/62/62_2/dpf_04_16.pdf p.12

⁵http://www.dunavpenzije.com/saznajte-vise/investiciona-politika/Investiciona_nacela (accessed April 27, 2017).

⁶https://www.nbs.rs/internet/cirilica/62/62_pf.html(accessed May 10 2017).

⁷<http://www.mojnovac.rs/fondovi.1.html>(accessed May 10, 2017)

⁸<http://www.dunavpenzije.com/vesti/stupile-na-snagu-izmene-i-dopune-zakona>(accessedMay 10, 2017).

Table 1: Number of members, assets and rates of return for voluntary pension funds operating in Serbia⁹ (Source: Statistical Anex of NBS for December 2019.)

Fund	Members	Assets (in millions of RSD)	Yield (2019)
Generali Basic	46535	13.075,8	9,14%
Generali Index	4966	1.095,6	8,34%
Raiffaisen Future	35064	5.459,9	4,87%
Raiffaisen Euro Future	4464	225	2,91% ¹⁰
DDOR GarantEkvilibrio	53517	6.050,3	5,63%
DDOR GarantŠtednja	19287	1.328,4	7,85%
DUNAV	87195	18.010,5	6,80%

Yield rates of voluntary pension funds are also favorable if the exchange rate movements during the last year are taken into account. On January 3, 2019, 1 euro amounted to 118.3439 dinars¹¹ and on December 31, 2019, 1 euro amounted to 117.5928¹². As a percentage, the fall of the euro is 0.64%, while the annual inflation in 2019 was 1.9%¹³. Based on the information from Table 2, positive trends can be observed in the growth of the Fund's net assets as well as in the number of beneficiaries. The influence of various factors in society on the development of pension funds, as well as the possibility of predicting development in this domain, are the subject of a number of papers from different countries and parts of the world, on which we based our research in this paper (H.C. Benediktsson, T.T. Gerbertsson and J.M. Orszag, 2001 [3]; D. Blake, 2004 [8]; S. Chlon, 2002 [9]; W.L. Dellva and G.T. Olson, 1998 [10]; R. Otten and D. Bams, 2002 [11]; A.F.M. Shamsuddin, 2001 [14]; A. Kabašinkskas, K. Šutiene, M. Kopa and E. Valakevičius, 2017 [16]; C. Marti, J.C. Matallin and M.A. Fernandez, 2009 [17], J. Bikker, O.W. Steenbeek and F. Torrachi, 2011 [20], Ch. Cheng and F. Uzelac, 2016 [21], W. Gerke, F. Mager, T. Reinschmidt, C. Schmieder, 2008 [22]).

Table following on the next page

⁹<https://www.raiffeisenfuture.rs/fondovi/euro-future/euro-future/prinosi.125.html> (accessed March 10, 2020)

¹⁰<https://www.raiffeisenfuture.rs/fondovi/euro-future/euro-future/prinosi.125.html>, (accessed March 10, 2020)

¹¹<https://www.kamatica.rs/kursna-lista/nbs/2019-01-03> (accessed March 08, 2020).

¹²<https://www.kamatica.com/kursna-lista/nbs/2019-12-31> (accessed March 08, 2020).

¹³<http://www.cekos.rs/indeksi-potroska/C5%A1a%C4%8Dkih-cena-u-2019-godini> (accessed March 08, 2020).

Table 2: Key indicators of voluntary pension funds in Serbia development¹⁴

Indicators	Companies for managing voluntary pension funds	Voluntary pension funds	Members	Contracts	Net estate of funds in millions RSD ¹⁵
2010	6	8	166780	220451	9.862,7
2011	6	9	174868	234405	12.452,3
2012	5	9	179823	240369	16.011,3
2013	4	6	183508	244462	19.007,7
2014	4	6	187997	252072	23.565,3
2015	4	7	190492	258680	28.874,8
2016	4	7	183553	250460	32.790,1
2017	4	7	185445	253900	36.200,0
2018	4	7	192295	261726	40.185,0
2019	4	7	201587	275833	45.245,5

3. LINEAR REGRESSION

By the term linear regression (O.David, 2017 [4]) we mean any modelling of the relationship between a quantity, which we call a dependent variable (we can denote it by y) and one or more quantities, which we call independent variables (we can denote them by $x_1, x_2 \dots x_n$), so that that model is a linear dependence on independent variables¹⁶, forms

$$y = a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots + a_nx_n + b,$$

where $a_1, a_2 \dots a_n, b$ are real numbers. If the dependence is on several independent variables, the process is called multiple linear regression. If the dependence of the variable y on only one independent variable x

$$y = ax + b,$$

then it is a simple linear regression.

Linear regression is easy to use in practical applications, because models that linearly depend on their unknown parameters are easier to model than models with nonlinear dependence on parameters. Most applications of linear regression fall into one of the following two types:

- If the goal is prediction, linear regression is used to determine the predictive model according to the considered data set of values of dependent and independent quantities. When the appropriate model is obtained, then the corresponding value of the dependent variable y can be determined for some new values of the independent variable x .
- If the goal of regression analysis is to quantify the strength of the relationship between the dependent variable y and each of the independent variables $x_1, x_2 \dots x_n$. In this paper, we will use the first approach, using an approximation procedure, known as the least squares method (discrete mean square approximation).

The least squares method (discrete mean square approximation) (G.V. Milovanović 1991 [5]) belongs to the so-called the best approximations, ie. approximation methods in which the criterion is the minimization of the error according to one of the norms.

¹⁴https://www.nbs.rs/internet/latinica/62/62_2/.

¹⁵Source: National Bank Serbia

¹⁶https://sr.wikipedia.org/sr-ec/Linearna_regresija, (accessed April 20, 2020).

Specifically, this is the norm L^2 , ie. the total sum of the squares of the errors in the approximation nodes is minimized (G.V. Milovanović, M.A. Kovačević, 1991 [6]). We also have had, on our minds, some results of previous considerations regarding predictions and risk models (T.C.Wong, C.H.Hui, C.F.Lo, 2010 [18], A.Amendola, M.Restaino, L.Sensini, 2011 [19]).

4. MAIN RESULTS

In this paper, we applied the method of linear regression to the given data from the following table, which shows the values of the average salary in Serbia, the value of FONDEX, as well as the number of fund members in a period of 5 years (2015-2019).

Table 3: Data for the Republic of Serbia for the period 2015-2019

Year	Average salary	FONDEX	Fund members
2019	54.908,25	3064.86	201587
2018	49.642,59	2862.92	192295
2017	47.887,67	2713.39	185445
2016	46.836,75	2592.50	183553
2015	44.436,50	2407.45	190490

We first applied a simple linear regression, to determine the dependence of the number of fund members on the average salary, and then a simple linear regression to arrive at a relationship between the number of fund members and FONDEX. Finally, we received a complex, multiple linear regression, to determine the dependence of the number of fund members on both the average salary and the FONDEX. The obtained dependences are given in the next two sections, together with the prediction tables.

4.1. Simple linear regression

Based on the data from Table 3, where we take the number of users for the independent variable (y), and as the dependent variable (x) the average salary, we will apply the procedure of forming a linear regression approximation function, form

$$\varphi_1(x) = a + bx,$$

by the method of least squares . We will start from the initial condition, that the error of approximation in the nodes is equal to zero, ie. $\varphi_1(x_k) = y(x_k)(k = 1, \dots, n)$. We have system of linear equations

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(54.908250) &= a + b \times 54.908250 = 201587 \\ \varphi_1(49.642590) &= a + b \times 49.642590 = 192295 \\ \varphi_1(47.887670) &= a + b \times 47.887670 = 185445 \\ \varphi_1(46.836750) &= a + b \times 46.836750 = 183553 \\ \varphi_1(44.436500) &= a + b \times 44.436500 = 190490 \end{aligned}$$

If we transform this system into a matrix form, we have

$$\begin{matrix} \begin{matrix} 54.908250 \\ 49.642590 \\ 47.887670 \\ 46.836750 \\ 44.436500 \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} \times \\ \times \\ \times \\ \times \\ \times \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} \vec{a} \\ \vec{a} \\ \vec{a} \\ \vec{a} \\ \vec{a} \end{matrix} & = & \begin{matrix} 201587 \\ 192295 \\ 185445 \\ 183553 \\ 190490 \end{matrix} \\ \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_A & & \vec{x} & & \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\vec{b}} \end{matrix}$$

Table 4: Prediction of the number of fund members depending on average salary

Average salary in Serbia	Fund members
50.000,00	194966
52.500,00	204753
55.000,00	214541
57.500,00	224329
60.000,00	234117
62.500,00	243904
65.000,00	253692
67.500,00	263480
70.000,00	273268

and we solve, by means of

$$A \times \vec{x} = \vec{b} \quad / \quad A^T \quad \text{P} \quad A^T A \times \vec{x} = A^T \vec{b}$$

$$(A^T A) \times \vec{x} = A^T \vec{b} \quad / \quad (A^T A)^{-1} \quad \text{P} \quad \vec{x} = (A^T A)^{-1} \times A^T \vec{b} = \begin{matrix} -790.405066 \\ 3915.118438 \end{matrix}$$

So the linear regression function for this case is

$$\varphi_1(x) = -790.405066 + 3915.118438x .$$

Using the obtained function, we can make a prediction of the number of fund members, depending on further possible changes in the average salary, which is shown in Table 4.

On the other hand, if we take the number of users for the independent variable (y) and FONDEX as the dependent variable (x), then we apply the procedure of forming a linear regression approximation function, form

$$\varphi_2(x) = a + bx,$$

by the method of least squares.

We will start with the initial condition, that the error of approximation in the nodes is equal to zero, ie. $\varphi_2(x_k) = y(x_k) (k = 1, \dots, n)$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_2(3064.86) &= a + b \times 3064.86 = 201587 \\ \varphi_2(2862.92) &= a + b \times 2862.92 = 192295 \\ \varphi_2(2713.39) &= a + b \times 2713.39 = 185445, \\ \varphi_2(2592.50) &= a + b \times 2592.50 = 183553 \\ \varphi_2(2407.45) &= a + b \times 2407.45 = 190490 \end{aligned}$$

If we transform this system into a matrix form, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3064.86 \\ 1 & 2862.92 \\ 1 & 2713.39 \\ 1 & 2592.50 \\ 1 & 2407.45 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 201587 \\ 192295 \\ 185445 \\ 183553 \\ 190490 \end{pmatrix},$$

and we solve, by means of

$$\begin{aligned} A \times \vec{x} &= \vec{b} \quad / \quad A^T \times A \times \vec{x} = A^T \times \vec{b} \\ (A^T A) \times \vec{x} &= A^T \times \vec{b} \quad / \quad (A^T A)^{-1} \times (A^T A) \times \vec{x} = (A^T A)^{-1} \times A^T \times \vec{b} = \begin{pmatrix} -1164.563403 \\ 69.974794 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

So the linear regression function for this case is

$$\varphi_2(x) = -1164.563403 + 69.974794x$$

Using the obtained function we can make a prediction of the number of fund members, depending on further possible changes of FONDEX, which is shown in the table 5.

Table 5: Prediction of the number of fund members depending on FONDEX

FONDEX	Fund members
3000.00	208760
3050.00	212259
3100.00	215757
3150.00	219256
3200.00	222755
3250.00	226254
3300.00	229752
3350.00	233251
3400.00	236750

4.2. Complex linear regression

Based on the data from Table 3, where we take the number of users for the independent variable (z), and the average salary x value of FONDEX y as dependent variables, we will apply the procedure of forming a multiple linear regression approximation function, form

$$\varphi_3(x, y) = a + bx + cy,$$

using least squares method. We will start with the initial conditions, that the error of approximation in the nodes is equal to zero, ie.

$$\varphi_3(x_k, y_k) = z(x_k, y_k) \quad (k = 1, \dots, n).$$

$$\begin{cases} a + b \times 54.908250 + c \times 3064.86 = 201587 \\ a + b \times 49.642590 + c \times 29862.92 = 192295 \\ a + b \times 47.887670 + c \times 2713.39 = 185445 \\ a + b \times 46.836750 + c \times 2592.50 = 183553 \\ a + b \times 44.436500 + c \times 2407.45 = 190490 \end{cases}$$

If we transform this system into a matrix form, we have

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 54.908250 & 3064.86 \\ 49.642590 & 2862.92 \\ 47.887670 & 2713.39 \\ 46.836750 & 2592.50 \\ 44.436500 & 2407.45 \end{pmatrix}}_A \times \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix}}_{\vec{x}} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 201587 \\ 192295 \\ 185445 \\ 183553 \\ 190490 \end{pmatrix}}_{\vec{b}}$$

and we solve, by means of

$$A \times \vec{x} = \vec{b} \quad / \quad A^T \quad \text{P} \quad A^T A \times \vec{x} = A^T \vec{b}$$

$$(A^T A) \times \vec{x} = A^T \vec{b} \quad / \quad (A^T A)^{-1} \quad \text{P} \quad \vec{x} = (A^T A)^{-1} \times A^T \vec{b} = \begin{pmatrix} -600.7212221 \\ 9158.414506 \\ -93.69044454 \end{pmatrix}$$

So the linear regression function for this case is

$$\varphi_3(x, y) = -600.7212221 + 9158.414506x - 93.69044454y$$

Using the obtained function we can make a prediction of the number of fund members, depending on further possible changes in the average salary and FONDEX, which is shown in the following table:

Table following on the next page

Table 6: Prediction of the number of fund members depending on average salary and FONDEX

Average salary	FONDEX	Fund members	Average salary	FONDEX	Fund members
50000	3000.00	176249	52500	3000.00	199145
50000	3050.00	171564	52500	3050.00	194460
50000	3100.00	166880	52500	3100.00	189776
50000	3150.00	162195	52500	3150.00	185091
50000	3200.00	157511	52500	3200.00	180407
50000	3250.00	152826	52500	3250.00	175722
50000	3300.00	148142	52500	3300.00	171038
50000	3350.00	143457	52500	3350.00	166353
50000	3400.00	138772	52500	3400.00	161669
50000	3450.00	134088	52500	3450.00	156984
50000	3500.00	129403	52500	3500.00	152299

Table 7: Prediction of the number of fund members depending on average salary and FONDEX

Average salary	FONDEX	Fund members	Average salary	FONDEX	Fund members
55000	3000.00	222041	57500	3000.00	244937
55000	3050.00	217356	57500	3050.00	240252
55000	3100.00	212672	57500	3100.00	235568
55000	3150.00	207987	57500	3150.00	230883
55000	3200.00	203303	57500	3200.00	226199
55000	3250.00	198618	57500	3250.00	221514
55000	3300.00	193934	57500	3300.00	216830
55000	3350.00	189249	57500	3350.00	212145
55000	3400.00	184565	57500	3400.00	207461
55000	3450.00	179880	57500	3450.00	202776
55000	3500.00	175196	57500	3500.00	198092

Table 8: Prediction of the number of fund members depending on average salary and FONDEX

Average salary	FONDEX	Fund members	Average salary	FONDEX	Fund members
60000	3000.00	267833	62500	3000.00	290729
60000	3050.00	263148	62500	3050.00	286044
60000	3100.00	258464	62500	3100.00	281360
60000	3150.00	253779	62500	3150.00	276675
60000	3200.00	249095	62500	3200.00	271991
60000	3250.00	244410	62500	3250.00	267306
60000	3300.00	239726	62500	3300.00	262622
60000	3350.00	235041	62500	3350.00	257937
60000	3400.00	230357	62500	3400.00	253253
60000	3450.00	225672	62500	3450.00	248568
60000	3500.00	220988	62500	3500.00	243884

Table 9: Prediction of the number of fund members depending on average salary and FONDEX

Average salary	FONDEX	Fund members	Average salary	FONDEX	Fund members
65000	3000.00	313625	67500	3000.00	336521
65000	3050.00	308940	67500	3050.00	331836
65000	3100.00	304256	67500	3100.00	327152
65000	3150.00	299571	67500	3150.00	322467
65000	3200.00	294887	67500	3200.00	317783
65000	3250.00	290202	67500	3250.00	313098
65000	3300.00	285518	67500	3300.00	308414
65000	3350.00	280833	67500	3350.00	303729
65000	3400.00	276149	67500	3400.00	299045
65000	3450.00	271464	67500	3450.00	294360
65000	3500.00	266780	67500	3500.00	289676

Table 10: Prediction of the number of fund members depending on average salary and FONDEX

Average salary	FONDEX	Fund members	Average salary	FONDEX	Fund members
70000	3000.00	359417	72500	3000.00	382313
70000	3050.00	354732	72500	3050.00	377628
70000	3100.00	350048	72500	3100.00	372944
70000	3150.00	345363	72500	3150.00	368259
70000	3200.00	340679	72500	3200.00	363575
70000	3250.00	335994	72500	3250.00	358890
70000	3300.00	331310	72500	3300.00	354206
70000	3350.00	326625	72500	3350.00	349521
70000	3400.00	321941	72500	3400.00	344837
70000	3450.00	317256	72500	3450.00	340152
70000	3500.00	312572	72500	3500.00	335468

5. CONCLUSION AND DIRECTIONS OF FURTHER RESEARCH

In first two sections of this paper, we analyzed the work of voluntary pension funds in Serbia in terms of financial results. In the continuation of the paper, we modelled the behavior and interdependence of the private pension fund members and two relevant parameters, which are in correlation with fund members. In the first case, the approximation function, which represents a mathematical model of behavior, that is not relevant enough, because it takes into account only one of the factors, and that is the average salary in the country. In the second case, the approximation function that represents the mathematical model of behavior is more relevant, but still insufficient, because it takes into account only FONDEX as variable. Finally, the last approximation function, a mathematical model in which the number of fund members is modeled over the average salary and size of FONDEX, gives a very precise picture and gives opportunity for good prediction of growth and development of the pension system, number of fund members, and its relevance is based on interaction and influence of two independent factors.

Based on the data obtained in Tables 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10, we can be sure of a good estimate obtained by this mathematical model, specifically on the current situation, with the current average salary and the current size of FONDEX. Further research and analysis should consider determining the structure of the population, which could affect the improvement of the performance of voluntary pension funds in Serbia. With these new solutions and a better employment ratio, and with an increase in the number of members who pay contributions to private pension funds (eg that 10% of the population saves in private pension funds, currently about 2%), future retirees in Serbia can expect safer and more certain the future

6. DECLARATION OF INTEREST

The authors report no conflict of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the paper.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: *This work is under grants TR-32012 and III-43007 Ministry of Education, Science and Technological development of Republic of Serbia.*

REFERENCES

1. Jelena Kočović, Predrag Šulejić and Tatjana Rakonjac Antić. 2016. “Osiguranje”, Ekonomski fakultet, Beograd.
2. Ivan Radojković. 2012. “Significance and Prospects of Voluntary Pension Funds in Serbia”, *Tokovi osiguranja*, Vol.3: 41-47 (in Serbian).
3. H.C. Benediktsson, T.T. Gerbertsson and J.M. Orszag. 2001. “The charge ratio on individual accounts and investment plans in Iceland”. *Applied Economics*, 33(9): 79–87.
4. Olive David, “Linear Regression”. 2017. Springer International Publishing
5. Gradimir V. Milovanović. 1991. “Numerical Analysis II”, *NaucnaKnjiga*, Belgrade, (First Edition 1985, Second Edition 1988, Third Edition 1991), VIII+210 pp. (Serbian); MR 87e: 65001b.
6. Gradimir V. Milovanović, Milan A. Kovačević. 1991. “A Collection of Solutions for Problems in Numerical Analysis”, *NaucnaKnjiga*, Belgrade, (First edition, Second Edition 1988, Third Edition 1991), IV+278 pp. (Serbian).
7. Ivan Radojković, Boban Gajić. 2017. „Development of Voluntary Pension Funds in Serbia“, *Tokovi osiguranja*, Beograd 2017, Vol 4 : 33-44(in Serbian)..
8. D. Blake. 2004. “The impact of wealth on consumption and retirement behaviour in the UK”. *Applied Financial Economics*, 14(5):55–76.
9. Agnieszka Chlon. 2002. “Administrative costs of pension funds in Poland in international perspective”, *Room Document No. 24*, Regional Meeting for the Eastern and Central European Countries, Tallinn, Estonia, 7–8 February 2002.
10. W.L. Dellva and G.T. Olson. 1998. “The relationship between mutual fund fees and expenses and their effects on performance”. *The Financial Review*, 33: 85–104.
11. R. Ottenand D. Bams. 2002. European mutual fund performance. *European Financial Management*, 8, pp.75–101.
12. Statistički godišnji bilten za 2016. 2017. Republički fond za penzijsko i invalidskoosiguranje, Beograd (in Serbian).
13. Sektor dobrovoljnih penzijskih fondova u Srbiji. 2017. Izveštaj za četvrtotromesečje 2016. Godine, Narodnabanka Srbije (in Serbian).
14. A.F.M. Shamsuddin. 2001. “Public pension and wealth inequality in Canada”. *Applied Economics Letters*, 8: 315–320.
15. A. Stanković. 2017. “Prezentacija 5-4-2017”, *DunavDPF Beograd*, “Prezentacija 5-4-2017”

16. A. Kabašinkskas, K. Šutiene, M. Kopa and E. Valakevičius. 2017. “The risk–return profile of Lithuanian private pension funds”, *Applied Economics*, Vol. 30 (1), pp 1611-1630.
17. C. Marti, J.C. Matallin and M.A. Fernandez. 2009. “Determinants of pension plan fees in Spain”, *Applied Economics*, Vol 41 (17), pp 2153-2168.
18. T.C. Wong, C.H. Hui, C.F. Lo, 2010, “Discriminatory power and predictions of Defaults of Structural Credit Risk Models”, *Journal of Risk Model Validation*, 3 (4), pp 39-60.
19. A. Amendola, M. Restaino, L. Sensini, 2011, “Variable Selection on Default Risk Models”, *Journal of Risk Model Validation*, 5 (1), pp 3-19.
20. J. Bikker, O.W. Steenbeek, F. Torracchi, 2011, “The Impact of Scale, Complexity, and Service Quality on the Administrative Costs of Pension Funds: A Cross-Country Comparison”, *Journal of Risk and Insurance*, Vol. 79 (2), pp 477-514. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6975.2011.01439.x>
21. Ch. Cheng, F. Uzelac, 2016, A Termination Rule for Pension Guarantee Funds, *Journal of Risk and Insurance*, Vol. 85 (1), pp 275-300, <https://doi.org/10.1111/jori.12150>
22. W. Gerke, F. Mager, T. Reinschmidt, C. Schmieder, 2008, Empirical Risk Analysis of Pension Insurance: The Case of Germany, *Journal of Risk and Insurance*, Vol. 75 (3), pp 763-784, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6975.2008.00283.x>